Error Control Codes 2G to 6G

A Rajesh
Dept. of EEE
IIT Guwahati

October 9, 2024

Outline

Evolution of Error Control Mechanisms (2G to 4G)

The evolution of error control mechanisms in mobile networks has advanced significantly to meet growing data rates and reliability needs:

- **2G (GSM)**:
  - Introduced in the 1990s.
  - Utilized *convolutional coding* for error control.
  - Implemented *Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ)* to retransmit lost or corrupted packets.
- **3G (UMTS)**:
  - Expanded data capabilities, including mobile internet.
  - Improved error control with *Turbo Codes* for better performance in noisy conditions.
  - *Hybrid ARQ (HARQ)* combined error detection and correction to reduce latency.

Evolution of Error Control Mechanisms (4G and 5G)

- **4G (LTE)**:
  - Focused on high-speed data transfer.
  - Adopted *Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) codes* and *HARQ* for improved throughput and reliability.
  - LDPC codes were particularly effective for long data frames and higher transmission speeds.
- **5G**:
  - Introduced ultra-low latency and massive connectivity.
  - Adopted *Polar Codes* for control channels and *LDPC* for data channels.
  - HARQ continued to ensure packet reliability and efficient retransmission.

Evolution of Error Control Mechanisms (6G and Beyond)

- **6G** (Expected around 2030):
  - *Machine learning-aided error correction* is anticipated to revolutionize error control.
  - Real-time adaptive algorithms will predict and mitigate errors dynamically.
  - Future mechanisms may include advanced *blockchain* techniques and *quantum error correction*.
  - These developments will address challenges posed by ultra-reliable low-latency communications (URLLC).

The evolution reflects increasing complexity and performance demands, from simple voice services to high-speed, real-time applications.

Key Error Correction Techniques in 4G LTE

- The primary method for error correction in LTE is
  - **Turbo Coding**
  - **Hybrid Automatic Repeat reQuest (HARQ)**

FEC for Data Channels and Control Channels

Turbo codes are used primarily for **data channels** in 4G LTE. Specifically, they are applied to the user data carried in:

- **Downlink Data:** Turbo coding ensures error correction during data transmission.
- **Uplink Data :** Handles uplink data transmission from the UE to the base station, with turbo codes.
- For control signals, LTE uses different error correction techniques such as **tail-biting convolutional codes (TBCC)**.

Conclusion: Turbo codes are focused on improving the reliability of data transmissions, while simpler coding techniques suffice for the relatively smaller and less complex control signals.

HARQ in Downlink and Uplink

Downlink :

- HARQ detects errors at the UE. If errors are found, a **NACK** is sent back, requesting retransmission with **incremental redundancy**.
- If no errors are found, an **ACK** is sent to confirm successful reception.

Uplink:

- Handles user data transmission from the UE to the base station .
- HARQ checks for errors at the base station. If errors are found, a **NACK** is sent, prompting retransmission.

Summary: HARQ is primarily used in **Downlink** and **Uplink** for reliable data transmission, improving efficiency and data integrity.

Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) Adaptation

- LTE dynamically adapts the modulation scheme and coding rate based on channel conditions.
- **Adaptive Modulation and Coding (AMC)** adjusts according to feedback from the receiver.
- Modulation schemes:
    - **QPSK**: More robust, lower data rates.
    - **16-QAM**: Balances robustness and data rate.
    - **64-QAM**: Higher data rates, less robust to errors.
- Under poor channel conditions, LTE switches to a lower modulation scheme like QPSK and higher coding rate for resilience. In good conditions, it uses higher modulation like 64-QAM for better throughput.

Combined Working Mechanism in LTE

Data Encoding and Transmission:

- Data is processed through a turbo encoder, interleaved, and modulated.
- CRC is appended for error detection.

Reception and Error Handling:

- Symbols are demodulated at the receiver.
- The turbo decoder corrects errors using redundancy.
- CRC is checked to detect any remaining errors.
- HARQ requests re-transmission if errors persist.

Conclusion

Error correction in 4G LTE networks is a multi-layered process involving turbo coding, HARQ, interleaving, and adaptive modulation schemes. These mechanisms work together to provide a balance between high data throughput and reliable communication, even in difficult wireless environments.

Key Error correction Techniques in 5G NR

In 5G New Radio (NR), error control techniques ensure high reliability and performance, especially in fluctuating signal conditions.

Primary mechanisms:

- Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) coding
- Hybrid Automatic Repeat reQuest (HARQ)

These mechanisms work in tandem to detect and correct errors, ensuring robust communication in data channels like Uplink and Downlink

LDPC Coding in 5G NR

LDPC is the primary **Forward Error Correction (FEC)** coding scheme in 5G NR, replacing Turbo codes from LTE. It offers:

- Excellent error correction for large data blocks, essential for high-throughput applications.
- Iterative decoding, approaching Shannon's channel capacity limit.
- Flexible coding rates to match varying channel conditions.

Introduction to Polar Codes in 5G NR

- Polar Codes are the Forward Error Correction (FEC) scheme used for control channels in 5G NR.
- Replaces Turbo Codes used in LTE for control signaling.
- Chosen due to excellent performance with short block lengths and near Shannon capacity efficiency.
- Complements LDPC codes used for data channels.
- Flexible Code Rates: Adapts coding rates based on channel conditions.

HARQ (Hybrid Automatic Repeat reQuest) in 5G NR

HARQ enhances transmission by combining automatic retransmission with error correction:

- **Error Detection:** Using Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC).
- **Retransmission:** If errors are detected, NACK is sent, triggering retransmission.
- **Incremental Redundancy:** Retransmissions carry extra parity bits, aiding in error correction.
- **Fast Retransmission:** Multiple HARQ processes run in parallel for low-latency recovery.

Summery of FEC in 5G NR

- In 5G NR LDPC codes are used for error correction in data channels, providing excellent error correction for high-throughput data transmissions.
- Polar Codes are employed for control channels, Polar Codes are optimal for shorter block lengths and offer near-Shannon capacity performance, making them ideal for the smaller, more critical control messages in 5G NR.
- Together, these coding schemes ensure robust, reliable communication across different 5G NR channels.

Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) Adaptation

5G NR dynamically adapts MCS based on channel conditions to balance data rate and reliability:

- **High Modulation:** 256-QAM offers high data rates but is more error-prone.
- **Low Modulation:** QPSK provides better error robustness at lower data rates.
- MCS adapts coding and modulation to match real-time channel quality.

Other Enhancements

- Interleaving: Data bits are interleaved before transmission to mitigate burst errors. The receiver de-interleaves the bits to help distribute errors more evenly, improving LDPC decoding efficiency.
- Beamforming and MIMO: Advanced antenna techniques like beamforming and massive MIMO are used to enhance signal quality and reduce errors, further aiding LDPC and HARQ in ensuring error-free communication.

Summary of Error Control in 5G NR

LDPC Coding:

- Primary FEC technique with iterative decoding and flexible coding rates.

HARQ:

- Combines error correction with retransmission using incremental redundancy.

MCS Adaptation:

- Adjusts modulation and coding rates dynamically based on channel conditions.

Interleaving:

- Helps spread errors across the transmitted data, improving the error correction performance of LDPC.

These mechanisms ensure robust, efficient data transmission in 5G NR.

Expected Features in 6G

Error correction strategies in the context of 6G, the upcoming sixth generation of wireless communication technology, are expected to be highly sophisticated to meet the demanding requirements of:

- Ultra-reliable, low-latency communication (URLLC)
- Massive machine-type communications (mMTC)
- Enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB)

Proposal for Key Error Correction Strategies

- Enhanced existing FECs
- Robust HARQ
- ML-Aided Error Correction
- Distributed and Cooperative Error Correction
- Fountain Codes for Massive IoT Networks

Enhanced existing FEC-Polar Codes

- **Optimization for Short Block Lengths**: Key for ultra-reliable, low-latency communication (URLLC).
- **Enhanced Decoding Algorithms**: Successive cancellation decoding (SCD) improvements or replacements like successive cancellation list (SCL) and belief propagation (BP).
- **Adaptation for High Mobility Scenarios**: Tailored for dynamic environments like vehicular or aerial communication.
- **Flexible Coding for Adaptive Networks**: Designed to adjust dynamically based on channel conditions.

Polar Codes in 6G (cont.)

Additional enhancements for polar codes in 6G:

- **Energy Efficiency Improvements**: Optimized for reduced power consumption in encoding and decoding, crucial for IoT devices and energy-sensitive applications.
- **Hybrid Polar Codes**: Exploration of hybrid schemes combining polar codes with other coding strategies for greater robustness.
- **Polar Code Shortening and Puncturing**: Further refinement for diverse network conditions and data rates.

Enhanced Existing FEC- LDPC Codes

For 6G, LDPC codes may undergo updates with the following goals:

- **Improved Performance for Short and Medium Block Sizes**: Enhancements for low-latency and real-time communication.
- **Faster Decoding Algorithms**: Reduced complexity through layered decoding and ML integration.
- **Higher Throughput and Massive Connectivity**: Optimized for massive machine-type communication (mMTC).
- **Flexible LDPC Design**: Rate-compatible LDPC codes adaptable to different network conditions.

LDPC Codes in 6G (cont.)

Additional enhancements for LDPC codes in 6G:

- **Joint Source-Channel Coding (JSCC)**: Maximizes bandwidth efficiency through simultaneous data compression and error correction.
- **Scalable and Distributed Decoding**: Enables cooperative decoding strategies in distributed networks like Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS).

Polar and LDPC Codes in 6G - Summary

- Polar codes will focus on low-latency, flexibility, and high-reliability, especially for ultra-reliable low-latency communication (URLLC) and adaptive networks.
- LDPC codes will be enhanced to handle massive connectivity, improving performance in large-scale networks and IoT environments.
- Faster decoding algorithms and energy efficiency improvements will be central to LDPC code advancements.
- Both polar and LDPC codes will be optimized to perform well across a broader range of block sizes, meeting 6G's diverse communication needs.

Robust HARQ

HARQ mechanisms in 6G are expected to support ultra-low latency for URLLC applications, such as autonomous vehicles, remote surgery, and industrial automation. Key enhancements include:

- **Minimized Retransmission Delays:** Optimized to meet stringent latency requirements by reducing retransmission time.
- **Shorter Feedback Loops:** Faster feedback loops allow immediate acknowledgment (ACK) or negative acknowledgment (NACK), reducing overall system delay.

Robust HARQ Contd.

Adaptive HARQ Mechanisms:

- **Real-Time Adaptation:** HARQ will adjust retransmission strategies dynamically based on real-time channel conditions.
- **Context-Aware HARQ:** AI and ML techniques will help predict channel conditions to improve error correction and maintain low latency.

Energy-Efficient HARQ:

- **Reduced Power Consumption:** HARQ will be optimized to minimize power consumption, especially for IoT and mMTC applications.
- **Optimized Redundancy:** Algorithms will be used to reduce the need for multiple retransmissions, conserving energy.

Robust HARQ Contd.

Cross-Layer HARQ Optimization:

- **Tight PHY and MAC Layer Integration:** Cross-layer optimization will improve efficiency, throughput, and error correction.
- **Joint Source-Channel Coding Integration:** HARQ may use joint source-channel coding to optimize both error correction and data compression.

Multi-Connectivity and Distributed HARQ:

- **Support for Multi-Connectivity:** Devices will connect to multiple base stations simultaneously, improving reliability and latency.
- **Cooperative HARQ:** Distributed networks will support cooperative retransmission, enhancing signal reliability.

ML-Aided Error Correction

Real-Time Code Optimization

- ML models can predict channel conditions and adjust error correction codes accordingly.
- System capabilities:
  - Dynamic switching between different coding schemes
  - Modification of parameters like block length and redundancy level
- Objectives:
  - Optimize for low latency
  - Enhance reliability based on current network conditions

ML-Aided Error Correction Contd.

Learning from Historical Data

- Analyzing historical communication patterns allows ML models to:
  - Identify optimal ECC configurations for various scenarios
  - Enhance predictive capabilities for future transmissions
- Benefits of historical data analysis:
  - Preemptive adjustments to error correction strategies
  - Improved efficiency in communication
  - Reduction in the need for retransmissions

ML-Aided Error Correction Contd.

Reinforcement Learning for Adaptive HARQ

- Adaptive Retransmission: RL agents optimize retransmission strategies based on real-time feedback, reducing unnecessary retransmissions.
- Context-Aware HARQ: ML adapts retransmission and error correction decisions based on user mobility and interference levels.

ML-Aided Error Correction Contd.

ML-Assisted Joint Source-Channel Coding (JSCC)

- End-to-End Learning for JSCC: ML jointly optimizes source and channel coding, improving bandwidth efficiency and reducing latency.
- Content-Aware Error Correction: ML adapts error correction based on the type of data, balancing redundancy and efficiency.

ML-Aided Error Correction Contd.

Channel Prediction and Noise Estimation

- Channel State Information (CSI) Prediction: ML predicts future channel states, allowing proactive adjustments to ECCs and improving communication reliability.
- Noise Prediction and Mitigation: ML predicts noise characteristics, adjusting power, modulation, and ECCs to improve tolerance and reduce errors.

ML-Aided Error Correction Contd.

Error Detection and Correction in Massive MIMO Systems

- ML-Based Signal Detection: ML improves signal detection accuracy in massive MIMO by identifying errors in the transmitted data.
- Interference Mitigation: ML predicts and mitigates interference in large-scale MIMO systems, reducing the need for retransmissions.

ML-Aided Error Correction in 6G-Summary

- ML-aided error correction in 6G will revolutionize traditional communication systems by introducing intelligence into the error detection and correction process.
- ML algorithms will enable adaptive, dynamic, and efficient error correction schemes that improve reliability, reduce latency, and enhance the overall performance of 6G networks.
- Neural decoders to real-time channel prediction, ML will play a crucial role in ensuring that 6G networks meet the demanding requirements of ultra-reliable, low-latency, and high-speed communication.

Distributed and Cooperative Error Correction

- Multiple devices and nodes participate in error correction in a distributed manner.
- This spreads error correction tasks across various devices or network elements, improving scalability and robustness.
- **Edge Computing for Error Correction:** Edge nodes near end-users perform real-time error correction using local information.
- Reduces the need to send data to a central server, minimizing latency.

Distributed and Cooperative Error Correction Contd.

- **Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Error Correction:**
  - Devices or sensors cooperate in a peer-to-peer fashion, sharing data and error correction codes.
  - Useful for massive Machine Type Communication (mMTC) where devices have limited resources.
- **Distributed LDPC Codes:**
  - Different nodes working on separate parts of the data.
  - The collaboration of multiple nodes accelerates the error correction process.

Distributed and Cooperative Error Correction Contd.

- Cooperative error correction allows collaboration between multiple devices or base stations to enhance error correction.
- **Cooperative Relaying:**
  - Intermediate nodes (relays) forward signals to the destination and correct errors during transmission.
  - Techniques like Amplify-and-Forward or Decode-and-Forward improve signal reliability.
- **Network Coding for Cooperative Correction:**
  - Data packets from multiple sources are combined to introduce redundancy.
  - Multiple receivers correct errors cooperatively, reducing retransmissions and increasing efficiency.
- **Cluster-Based Cooperative Error Correction:**
  - Devices or users in a cluster cooperate to correct errors.
  - Redundant information from other nodes helps to correct detected errors.

Fountain Codes for Massive IoT Networks

Fountain Codes Overview:

Fountain codes, also known as rateless erasure codes, are a type of forward error correction (FEC) code designed to handle data transmission over unreliable or lossy channels. In 6G, they are expected to play a significant role due to their:

- Adaptability
- Scalability
- Efficiency in handling high-speed, high-reliability demands

Advantages of Fountain Codes in 6G:

- No Need for Feedback: Fountain codes eliminate the need for retransmission requests, making them ideal for low-latency and real-time communication.
- Efficient Use of Bandwidth: Reduce retransmissions as receivers only need a sufficient number of packets, not specific ones.
- **Resilience to Packet Loss:** Reliable in highly dynamic unreliable wireless environments with minimal overhead.

Fountain Codes for Massive IoT Networks Contd.

Difficulties with Fountain Codes:

- Decoding Complexity: As the size of data increases or transmission rates rise, decoding Fountain codes can become computationally complex, posing a challenge for 6G networks.
- Energy Consumption: Encoding and decoding processes, especially in distributed systems, may require significant energy resources. This is a limitation for low-power devices, such as IoT nodes, in 6G networks.

Conclusion: While Fountain codes offer great benefits in terms of bandwidth efficiency and reliability, their decoding complexity and energy demands must be addressed for seamless integration into 6G networks.

Error Control in 6G- Summary

- Combination of enhanced traditional methods:
  - Forward Error Correction (FEC)
  - Hybrid Automatic Repeat reQuest (HARQ)
- Enhanced with modern innovations:
  - Machine learning
  - Quantum error correction
  - Distributed strategies
- Ability to handle diverse requirements:
  - IoT devices with limited power
  - Ultra-reliable, low-latency communications for critical infrastructure
- Key attributes:
  - Flexibility
  - Scalability
  - Intelligence
- Vital for delivering next-generation services

Refernces

- A. Osseiran, J. F. Monserrat, and P. Marsch, *5G Mobile and Wireless Communication Technology*, Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- J. Rodriguez, *Fundamentals of 5G Mobile Networks*, Wiley, 2015.
- X. Lin, J. Zhang, Y. Liu, and J. Kim, *Fundamentals of 6G Communications and Networking*, Springer, 2024.
- P. Sun, *A Guidebook for 5GtoB and 6G Vision for Deep Convergence*, Springer, 2023.
- J. Rodriguez, C. Verikoukis, J. S. Vardakas, and N. Passas, *Enabling 6G Mobile Networks*, Springer, 2023.